

CONFORMAL SCALAR CURVATURE EQUATIONS IN OPEN SPACES

Man Chun LEUNG

Department of Mathematics,
National University of Singapore,
Singapore 117543, Republic of Singapore
`matlmc@math.nus.edu.sg`

Abstract

The article contains a brief description on the study of conformal scalar curvature equations, and discusses selected topics and questions concerning the equations in open spaces.

1. Introduction

In nature we observe many curved objects. Apparently one of the first concepts to measure, or quantify, how curved an geometric object is, is the radius of a circle: the smaller the radius, the more curved it is. The curvature of a curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is cognizant to Cauchy (cf. [59]). In his generative paper “*General Investigations of Curved Surfaces*”, Gauss introduces a form of curvature, now well-known as Gaussian curvature, into the study of surfaces. The notion of curvature in n -dimensional spaces is propounded by Riemann in the Habilitation Lecture “*On the Hypotheses Which Lie at the Foundations of Geometry*” and in a later work submitted to the Paris Academy in 1861. It is suggestive that a metric is not seen as inheriting from the space, but is given and can be changed without “altering” the space. For instance, there are many metrics on S^n , the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, besides the canonical metric. (For the classics of Gauss and Riemann, we refer to the book by Spivak [54] for informative discussions. cf. also [17])

-
- KEY WORDS: conformal scalar curvature equation, positive solutions, asymptotic property.
 - 2000 AMS MS CLASSIFICATIONS: Primary 35J60 ; Secondary 53C21, 58J05.

This critical dualism of space and metric allows for freedom in changing the metric structure, of which a simple way is to apply conformal transformations. Let g be a metric on an n -dimensional manifold M , and u a smooth positive function on M . Denote by $g_c := u^{4/(n-2)}g$ the conformal metric for $n \geq 3$. The change in the scalar curvature, which is the second trace of the Riemannian curvature tensor, is governed by the *conformal scalar curvature equation*

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_g u - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_c u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } M.$$

Here Δ_g is the Laplacian on (M, g) , R_g and R_c are the scalar curvatures of g and g_c , respectively (see [6]).

The corresponding equation in dimension two takes the form

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta_g v - K_g + K e^{2v} = 0 \quad \text{in } M,$$

where K_g is the Gaussian curvature of (M, g) , $g_c = e^{2v}g$ the conformal metric and K the Gaussian curvature of (M, g_c) . From the works of Liouville [38] and Poincaré [48] to recent publications (cf. [8], [9], [14], [16], [26], [27], [44]), a vast knowledge on equation (1.2) has been gained, yet a complete solution to the fundamental Nirenberg problem eludes us. The problem asks for sufficient and necessary conditions for a function K on S^2 to be the Gaussian curvature function of a metric which is conformal to the standard metric on S^2 .

The prescribed scalar curvature problem is posted and studied on compact Riemannian manifolds of higher dimension (see [2] and [25]). The special case of looking for conformal metrics of *constant* scalar curvature is known as the Yamabe problem, which is resolved by the important efforts of Yamabe [58], Trudinger [57], Aubin [1] and Schoen [50] (see also [5] and [29]). This sets a stunning example on the application of variational method and interaction between local and global geometry with analysis of partial differential equations.

In a pioneer work of Loewner and Nirenberg [39], equation (1.1) is considered on an open domain in a compact manifold. Among other things, they show that if Σ is a compact k -dimensional submanifold of S^n with $k \geq (n-2)/2$, $n \geq 3$, then equation (1.1) has a smooth positive solution on $S^n \setminus \Sigma$ with R_c being a negative constant. This leads to the Singular Yamabe Problem, which asks for a complete conformal metric of the standard metric on $S^n \setminus \Sigma$ with constant scalar curvature, where Σ is a compact submanifold of S^n . For non-positive scalar curvature, the problem is studied by Aviles and McOwen [4], Finn [18], Mazzeo [40], and others. For positive scalar curvature, the problem is resolved and extended by the works of Schoen [51], Mazzeo and Pacard (see [41] and [46]; cf. also [40]

and [24]). A major tool is the subtle analysis of pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with corners developed by Melrose and others (see [43]; cf. also [53]).

As we go into general open manifolds, the study becomes more difficult and diversified. Although there are other ways to see it now, the first examples to show that the Yamabe problem on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds may not have solutions are provided by Jin [22]. Despite of this, Yamabe problem may still have solutions on specific types of open manifolds (cf. [60]). For the case of negative scalar curvature, ample results are obtained on manifolds of negative sectional curvature in general, and hyperbolic space in particular (see, for examples, [3], [23] and [49]). In [30], [31] and [32], the author studies the conformal scalar curvature equation in open Riemannian manifolds with compactifications and other general complete manifolds.

Besides the complication arising from the geometry of open spaces near infinity, the subtlety of equation (1.1) can also be seen in one of the simplest open spaces, namely, Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here we confine ourselves to the case when R_c is positive outside a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$ (for the case of negative conformal scalar curvature, we refer to the works of Ni and others, cf. [45], [35] and [36]). An useful technique called the moving plane method is introduced by Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg in [19] and [20], developing an idea of Serrin on a version of the Alexandrov reflection principle. The method is found to be fruitful and deep results are obtained by Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck [7], W.-X. Chen and C.-M. Li [15], C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin [11] [12] [13], and others.

The apparently simple equation (1.1) calls for a variety of ideas from differential geometry, analysis and algebra. We discuss some specific topics in the following.

2. Blow-up in the Yamabe Equation

To find a conformal metric $g_c = u^{4/(n-2)}g$ of constant scalar curvature is equivalent to solving the *Yamabe equation*

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_g u - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g u + \lambda u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } M$$

for a constant λ , with u being positive and smooth. In this section, let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian n -manifold without boundary ($n \geq 3$). We discuss a blow-up argument that is an essential part for later study. It appears in the process of the direct method in the variational problem.

For a number $s \in (0, 2n/(n-2)]$, consider the quotient

$$(2.2) \quad Q_s(u) := \frac{\int_M \left[|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g u^2 \right] dv_g}{\left(\int_M |u|^s dv_g \right)^{2/s}},$$

where $u \in L_1^2(M) \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$\lambda_s = \inf \{ Q_s(u) \mid u \in L_1^2(M) \setminus \{0\} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(M) = \lambda_{\frac{2n}{n-2}}.$$

By using a direct minimizing procedure, it can be shown that for $2 < s < 2n/(n-2)$, there exists a smooth positive function u_s such that its L^s -norm is equal to one, $Q_s(u_s) = \lambda_s$ and u_s satisfies the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_g u_s - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g u_s + \lambda_s u_s^{s-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } M.$$

The direct method does not work when $s = 2n/(n-2)$ because the Sobolev embedding $L_1^2(M) \hookrightarrow L^{2n/(n-2)}(M)$ is continuous but not compact. However, if one can show that there exists a positive constant c such that $u_s \leq c$ in M for $2 < s < 2n/(n-2)$, then there exists a sequence $\{s_i\} \in (2, 2n/(n-2))$ such that $s_i \rightarrow 2n/(n-2)$ and u_{s_i} converges to a smooth positive function u which satisfies the Yamabe equation (2.1).

Suppose that no such upper bound c exists. It follows that there exist sequences $\{s_k\} \subset (2, 2n/(n-2))$ and $\{y_k\} \subset M$ such that

$$s_k \rightarrow \frac{2n}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad m_k := u_{s_k}(y_k) = \max u_{s_k} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

As M is compact, we may assume that $y_k \rightarrow y_o$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For a normal coordinate system centered at y_o and with radius ρ , let the coordinates of y_k be x_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In the local coordinates, u_{s_k} satisfies the equation

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_j \left(\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \partial_i u_{s_k} \right) - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g u_{s_k} + \lambda_{s_k} u_{s_k}^{s_k-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } B_o(\rho).$$

The idea here is to consider the normalized function

$$v_k(x) := \frac{u_k(\delta_k x + x_k)}{m_k}, \quad \text{where } \delta_k := \frac{1}{m_k^{(s_k-2)/2}}.$$

We have $x_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Here v_k is defined on a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $\rho_k = (\rho - |x_k|)/\delta_k$ and $\rho_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the argument of diagonal subsequence and the property of normal coordinates (see [52]), one observes that a subsequence of $\{v_k\}$ converges to a smooth positive function v which is a solution of the equation

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta_o v + \lambda v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\lambda = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{s_k}$ and Δ_o is the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is known that $\lambda \leq \lambda(M)$ if $\lambda(M) < 0$; and $\lambda = \lambda(M)$ if $\lambda(M) \geq 0$ [52]. Let d be the diameter of (M, g) . By a change of variables we have

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{|x| \leq \frac{d}{2}\delta_k^{-1}} v_k^{s_k} \sqrt{\det g} \, dx = \delta_k^{\frac{2s_k}{s_k-2}-n} \int_{B_{x_k}(\frac{d}{2})} u_k^{s_k} \, dv_g \leq \delta_k^{\frac{2s_k}{s_k-2}-n},$$

where we note that

$$\frac{2s_k}{s_k-2} - n > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $B_{x_k}(d/2)$ denotes the open ball in (M, g) with center at x_k and radius equal to $d/2$. From (2.6), the Fatou lemma and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k = 0$, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \, dx \leq 1.$$

We see that if $\lambda(M) \leq 0$, then v is a positive subharmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $v \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $v \equiv 0$ (a Liouville-type theorem, see [52]), which is a contradiction. The conclusion can be drawn that the upper bound c exists if $\lambda(M) \leq 0$. We consider the case $\lambda(M) > 0$ in the next section.

3. Global Solutions

In case $\lambda(M) > 0$, by the scaling

$$(3.1) \quad u = \left[\frac{\lambda(M)}{n(n-2)} \right]^{\frac{n-2}{4}} v,$$

equation (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_o u + n(n-2) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

An amazing result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [19] [20] exhibits all smooth positive global solutions of equation (3.2).

Theorem 3.3. *Let u be a smooth positive solution of equation (3.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$. Then u is rotationally symmetric about a point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore,*

$$(3.4) \quad u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and for a positive constant λ .

We observe that if u is given by (3.4), then the conformal transformation $u^{4/(n-2)} g_o$ changes the Euclidean metric g_o into a spherical metric on S^n . Hence solutions in (3.4) are known as *spherical solutions*, and are denoted by $u_{\lambda, \xi}$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If we couple theorem 3.3 with the blow-up process we may draw some more conclusions. A calculation shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_{\lambda, \xi}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = \frac{\omega_n}{2^n}$$

for $\lambda > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here ω_n is the volume of S^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the standard metric. (The notation may be different from some other works.) It follows from (2.7), (3.1) and (3.5) that

$$1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = \left[\frac{n(n-2)}{4\lambda(M)} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} \omega_n.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\lambda(M) \geq \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

The estimate is not sharp though. Indeed, by using the Sobolev inequality and equations (2.5) and (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \Lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \lambda(M) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx.$$

It follows from (2.7) that $\lambda(M) \geq \Lambda$, and the best constant Λ in the Sobolev inequality is found to be equal to $\lambda(S^n) = n(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}$. Therefore, under the assumption that the uniform upper bound c does not exist, we have

$$\lambda(M) \geq n(n-1)\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

We are led to the conclusion that the Yamabe problem has a solution if $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$. The major remaining part is to show that $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^n)$ *unless* (M, g) is conformally equivalent to S^n (see [52] and [29]). Notice that the exact form of u is not utilized in (3.6)

4. Non-constant Positive Scalar Curvature

Consider the conformal scalar curvature equation

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta u + n(n-2)Ku^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where K is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$(4.2) \quad a^2 \leq K(x) \leq b^2 \quad \text{for } |x| \gg 1.$$

Here a and b are positive constants. Of fundamental concerns are the asymptotic behaviors of $u(x)$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. The basic asymptotic properties we seek to consider are the following.

- (i) **Fast decay:** $u(x) \leq C|x|^{-(n-2)}$ for $|x| \gg 1$.
- (ii) **Slow decay:** $u(x) \leq C|x|^{-(n-2)/2}$ for $|x| \gg 1$.
- (iii) **Lower bound/“Completeness”:** $u(x) \geq C|x|^{-(n-2)/2}$ for $|x| \gg 1$.

We first observe that the bound $u(x) \geq C|x|^{-(n-2)}$ for $|x| \gg 1$ is guaranteed by the maximum principle. Denote by $B_o(r)$ the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with radius $r > 0$ and center at the origin.

Lemma 4.3. *Let u be a smooth positive superharmonic function (i.e., $\Delta u \leq 0$) on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_o(r_o)}$. There exist positive numbers c and r_1 such that*

$$u(x) \geq c|x|^{2-n} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq r_1.$$

Proof. Fix a number $\rho > r_o$. We can find a positive number c such that

$$u(x) \geq c|x|^{2-n} \quad \text{for } |x| = \rho.$$

Let

$$\phi(x) = c|x|^{2-n} \quad \text{and} \quad w(x) = u(x) - \phi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_o(r)}.$$

Suppose that there is a point x_o with $|x_o| > \rho$ such that $w(x_o) < 0$, i.e., $\phi(x_o) > u(x_o)$. Let $\delta = -w(x_o)$. There exists a number $R > |x_o|$ such that

$$\phi(x) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } |x| = R.$$

Hence

$$w(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad w(x) > -\frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } |x| = R.$$

Since $w(x_o) = -\delta$, $\inf \{w \mid B_o(R) \setminus \overline{B_o(\rho)}\}$ is achieved at a point inside $B_o(R) \setminus \overline{B_o(\rho)}$. As

$$\Delta w = \Delta u \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_o(r)},$$

we are led to a contradiction via the maximum principle. Hence $w(x) \geq 0$ for all $|x| \geq \rho$. $\square$

The lemma is sharp as it can be seen that the spherical solutions decay in the order $O(|x|^{2-n})$ for $|x| \gg 1$. The analytic consequences of the slow decay can be seen through the following lemma, which is observed in [18] (a version of the lemma is proved in [8]).

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that K satisfies (4.2). Let u be a smooth positive solution of (4.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(A) *u has slow decay (ii).*

(B) *u satisfies a spherical Harnack inequality, i.e., there exists a positive constant C_h such that the inequality*

$$\sup_{S_r} u \leq C_h \inf_{S_r} u$$

holds for all $r > 0$. Here S_r is the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with center at the origin and radius equal to r .

(C) *There exists a positive constant C_g such that $|x| \cdot |\nabla u(x)| \leq C_g u(x)$ for $|x| > 0$.*

By using the *Kelvin transformation*, we can bring, as it were, the “infinity” to the origin. Let

$$(4.5) \quad y = \frac{x}{|x|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad w(y) := |y|^{2-n} u\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then w satisfies the equation

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta w(y) + n(n-2) K_o(y) w^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y \neq 0,$$

where $K_o(y) = K(y/|y|^2)$ for $y \neq 0$. In this way, the questions on the asymptotic properties of u may be paraphrased as questions on the singularity/regularity of w at the origin. In particular,

- (a) w has a removable singularity at 0 $\implies$ u has **fast decay** (i).
- (b) $w(y) \leq C|y|^{-(n-2)/2}$ for $|y| \sim 0$, $|y| \neq 0 \iff$ u has **slow decay** (ii).
- (c) $w(y) \geq C|y|^{-(n-2)/2}$ for $|y| \sim 0$, $|y| \neq 0 \iff$ u has **lower bound** (iii).

5. Slow Decay and Blow-up

We examine the slow decay and blow-up of smooth positive solutions w of the equation

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta w + n(n-2) K_o w^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } B_o(1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Given a small positive number $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$d_\varepsilon(x) = \max \{0, \min \{|x| - \varepsilon, 5/8 - |x|\}\} \quad \text{for } x \in B_o(5/8).$$

Set

$$(5.2) \quad W_\varepsilon(x) = [d_\varepsilon(x)]^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B_o(5/8),$$

and

$$M_\varepsilon = \sup_{B_o(5/8)} W_\varepsilon.$$

It follows that if there exists a positive constant C such that for all $x \in B_o(5/8)$,

$$(5.3) \quad M_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small},$$

(C is independent on x and ε), then w has slow decay in the form of (b). Assume that no such constant C exists, i.e., there is a decreasing sequence of small positive

numbers $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ such that $M_{\varepsilon_i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let x_i be a maximum point of W_{ε_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that $|x_i| > c$ for a positive number c and for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. We have

$$(5.4) \quad M_{\varepsilon_i} \leq (5/8)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sup_{B_o(5/8) \setminus B_o(c)} w \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the right hand side is a bounded number. But this is a contradiction. Therefore, by choosing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0$. Similar to the blow-up procedure in section 2 (see [28]), let

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{[w(x_i)]^{2/(n-2)}}$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad v_i(x) = \mu_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w(x_i + \mu_i x), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We assert that, under the conditions

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} K_o(y) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \cdot |\nabla_y K_o(y)| \leq C_o \quad \text{for } y \in B_o(5/8),$$

there is a convergent subsequence of $\{v_i\}$. Here C_o is a constant. To proceed, set

$$r_i = d_{\varepsilon_i}(x_i)/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

For $y \in B_{x_i}(r_i)$, we have

$$W_{\varepsilon_i}(y) \leq W_{\varepsilon_i}(x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\varepsilon_i}(y) \geq \frac{d_{\varepsilon_i}(x_i)}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows that $w(y) \leq 2^{(n-2)/2} w(x_i)$ for $y \in B_{x_i}(r_i)$. That is,

$$(5.7) \quad w(x_i + \mu_i x) \leq 2^{(n-2)/2} w(x_i) \quad \text{for } x \in B_o(r_i/\mu_i).$$

Let

$$R_i = \frac{r_i}{\mu_i} = \frac{1}{2} M_i^{2/(n-2)}.$$

From (5.7), we have

$$v_i(x) \leq 2^{(n-2)/2} \quad \text{for } x \in B_o(R_i) \quad \text{and } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Furthermore, v_i satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_x v_i(x) + n(n-2) K_o(x_i + \mu_i x) v_i^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_o(R_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have

$$|\nabla_x K_o(x_i + \mu_i x)| = \mu_i |\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x} \quad \text{for } x \in B_o(R_i).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_i |\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x} \\ = & \frac{|\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x}}{[u(x_i)]^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \leq d_{\varepsilon_i}(x_i) |\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x} \\ \leq & 2 d_{\varepsilon_i}(y) |\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x} \leq 2|y| \cdot |\nabla_y K_o(y)|_{|y=x_i+\mu_i x} \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in B_{x_i}(r_i)$ and large i . As $i \rightarrow \infty$, $x_i \rightarrow 0$, $\mu_i \rightarrow 0$, $\mu_i R_i = r_i \rightarrow 0$ and $R_i \rightarrow \infty$, thus if we assume (5.6), then standard elliptic theory implies that there is a subsequence of $\{v_i\}$ which converges in C^2 norm on compact subsets to a C^2 function u which satisfies the equation

$$(5.8) \quad \Delta u + n(n-2) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If it *were* the case that the above equation had no positive solutions, then w would have had slow decay in the form of (b). But, as we see, equation (5.8) have a family of positive solutions given by (3.4).

6. Reflections

We discuss the reflection argument leading to slow decay when $K_o \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin. Suppose that the constant C in (5.3) does not exist. In the blow-up analysis of equation (5.1), given any positive number δ , we can find a $\varepsilon > 0$ and a maximal point x_1 of W_ε such that

$$(6.1) \quad \|v_\mu - u_{1,o}\|_{C^2(B_o(R))} < \delta$$

(see [28]). Here $u_{1,o}$ is the spherical solution given by (3.4) with $\lambda = 1$ and ξ being the origin, and

$$(6.2) \quad v_\mu(x) := \mu^{\frac{n-2}{2}} w(x_1 + \lambda x) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu = [w(x_1)]^{\frac{2}{2-n}}.$$

The reflection process appears to be geometrically natural in the *extended cylindrical coordinates*. Let

$$(6.3) \quad t = -\ln|x| \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = x/|x| \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

Define

$$(6.4) \quad v(t, \theta) = |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} v_\mu(x)$$

with x represented by (e^{-t}, θ) in the polar coordinates. For not too negative t , v satisfies the equation

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial s^2} + \Delta_\theta v - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 v + n(n-2)v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

where Δ_θ is the standard Laplacian on S^{n-1} . Define the differential operator L by

$$(6.6) \quad L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + \Delta_\theta - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2.$$

The following lemma can be derived from the mean value inequality and the Hopf lemma (cf. [19] and [28]).

Lemma 6.7. *Let u and u^* be C^2 functions which satisfy the equations*

$$Lu + n(n-2)Ku^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Lu^* + n(n-2)K^*u^{*\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

respectively, in an open connected set $\Omega \subset R \times S^{n-1}$. Here K and K^ are smooth functions on Ω with $K^* \leq K$ in Ω . Assume that $u^* \leq u$ in Ω . Then we have the following conclusions.*

1. *Either $u^* < u$ or $u^* \equiv u$ in Ω .*
2. *Suppose that there is a ball B in Ω and a point $p \in \partial B \cap \partial\Omega$ with $u(p) = u^*(p)$. Assume also that u and u^* are continuous in $\Omega \cup \{p\}$. Then if $u \not\equiv u^*$ in B , we have*

$$\frac{\partial(u - u^*)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} < 0,$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit outward normal of B at p .

From (6.1) we see that v is close in C^2 norm on $[-\ln R, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$ to the function $v_o(t, \theta) := (2 \cosh t)^{(2-n)/2}$. One finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_o(t, \theta)}{\partial t} &= \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) \frac{e^{-t} - e^t}{(2 \cosh t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ \text{and } \frac{\partial^2 v_o(t, \theta)}{\partial t^2} &= (n-2) \frac{n \sinh^2 t - 2 \cosh^2 t}{(2 \cosh t)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists positive numbers ε and δ such that for any $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial v_o(\varepsilon, \theta)}{\partial t} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial v_o(-\varepsilon, \theta)}{\partial t} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 v_o(t, \theta)}{\partial t^2} < \delta \quad \text{for } t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon].$$

As v can be assumed to be arbitrarily close in C^2 norm on $[-\ln R, \infty) \times S^{n-1}$ to v_o , it follows that, given $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, there is $t_o \in (-1, 1)$ such that

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{\partial v(t_o, \theta)}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 v(t_o, \theta)}{\partial t^2} < 0.$$

For a number t_1 , we define the reflection across the sphere $\{t_1\} \times S^{n-1}$ by

$$(6.9) \quad v^*(t, \theta) = v(2t_1 - t, \theta).$$

Based on the graph of the function v_o , for t_1 very large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ v\left(-\ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right), \theta\right) \mid \theta \in S^{n-1} \right\} &> \sup \left\{ v\left(2t_1 + \ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right), \theta\right) \mid \theta \in S^{n-1} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ v^*\left(-\ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right), \theta\right) \mid \theta \in S^{n-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

An reflection argument originally used by Alexandrov (cf. Ch. 7 in [21]) provides useful relations between the “righthand side” and the “lefthand side”, especially at a value $t_o \in (-1, 1)$ which satisfies (6.8) (cf. lemma 6.7). It is asserted in [28] that

$$\inf \left\{ v\left(-\ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right), \theta\right) \mid \theta \in S^{n-1} \right\} < \sup \left\{ v(t, \theta) \mid t > \ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right) - 2, \theta \in S^{n-1} \right\}.$$

It can be seen from the definitions of λ and v that

$$\inf\{v(-\ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right), \theta) \mid \theta \in S^{n-1}\} = 16^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \inf_{\partial B_{x_1}(1/16)} w.$$

As v is close to v_o for $t > 0$, and w is a positive superharmonic function in $B_o(1) \setminus \{0\}$ with a singularity at 0, we obtain

$$\inf_{\partial B_o(3/4)} w \leq \inf_{\partial B_{x_1}(1/16)} w \leq C_o v_o(\ln\left(\frac{1}{16\lambda}\right) - 2) \leq C \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} = C w^{-1}(x_1),$$

where C_o and C are constants. That is,

$$(6.10) \quad w(x_1) \leq C \left[\inf_{\partial B_o(3/4)} w \right]^{-1}.$$

But this contradicts that $u(x_1)$ can be chosen to be arbitrarily large. It follows that when $K_o \equiv 1$, smooth positive solutions to equation (4.1) have slow decay (b).

7. Spherical Solutions

Spherical solutions appear to be essential in the study of equation (4.1). We consider some of their basic properties. Given spherical solutions

$$(7.1) \quad u_1(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1^2 + |x - \xi_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2^2 + |x - \xi_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$(7.2) \quad v_1(s, \theta) = |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(s, \theta) = |x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u_2(x),$$

where $e^s = |x|$ and $\theta = x/|x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. We call v_1 and v_2 the *cylindrical transforms* of u_1 and u_2 , respectively. Note that there is a sign change comparing to (6.3).

Proposition 7.3. *In (7.1), if $\xi_1/\lambda_1 = \xi_2/\lambda_2$, then*

$$v_1(s, \theta) = v_2(s + \bar{s}, \theta) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \theta \in S^{n-1}, \text{ where } \bar{s} = \ln(\lambda_2/\lambda_1).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_1(s, \theta) \\
&= e^{\frac{n-2}{2}s} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1^2 + |x - \xi_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e^s}{\lambda_1^2 + |x|^2 - 2x \cdot \xi_1 + |\xi_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_1 e^s}{\lambda_1^2 + e^{2s} - 2e^s(\theta \cdot \xi_1) + |\xi_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\frac{e^s}{\lambda_1}}{1 + \frac{e^{2s}}{\lambda_1^2} - 2\frac{e^s}{\lambda_1}(\theta \cdot \frac{\xi_1}{\lambda_1}) + \frac{|\xi_1|^2}{\lambda_1^2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} = \left(\frac{\frac{e^s}{\lambda_1}}{1 + \frac{e^{2s}}{\lambda_1^2} - 2\frac{e^s}{\lambda_1}(\theta \cdot \frac{\xi_2}{\lambda_2}) + \frac{|\xi_2|^2}{\lambda_2^2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2 e^s}{\lambda_1} \right)}{\lambda_2^2 + \frac{\lambda_2^2 e^{2s}}{\lambda_1^2} - 2\frac{\lambda_2 e^s}{\lambda_1}(\theta \cdot \xi_2) + |\xi_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda_2 e^{s+\bar{s}}}{\lambda_2^2 + e^{2(s+\bar{s})} - 2e^{s+\bar{s}}(\theta \cdot \xi_2) + |\xi_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$v_2(s, \theta) = \left(\frac{\lambda_2 e^s}{\lambda_2^2 + e^{2s} - 2e^s(\theta \cdot \xi_2) + |\xi_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

Hence we obtain the result. (Here $\cdot$ denotes the dot product for vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$.) $\square$

One may think of spherical solutions as represented by points in the upper half space

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \lambda) \mid \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

Introducing the relation $\xi/\lambda = \xi'/\lambda'$, we obtain the upper hemisphere S_+^{n+1} . The north pole corresponds to the class with $\xi = 0$.

For a function u defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the *Kelvin transform about the sphere of radius $a > 0$* is given by

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{u}(x) = |x|^{2-n} a^{n-2} u\left(\frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

In case u is a smooth positive function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which satisfies equation (4.1), a direct calculation shows that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the equation

$$(7.5) \quad \Delta \tilde{u}(x) + n(n-2)K(a^2 x/|x|^2) \tilde{u}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If $K \equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and u is a spherical solution, then, from (7.5) and the observation that $\tilde{u}$ has a removable singularity at 0, we see via theorem 3.3 that $\tilde{u}$ is also a spherical solution.

Proposition 7.6. *Let u be a spherical solution given by*

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and $\tilde{u}$ the Kelvin transform of u about the sphere of radius $a > 0$. We have

$$\tilde{u} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}^2 + |x - \tilde{\xi}|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$(7.7) \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{a^2 \lambda}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\xi} = \frac{a^2 \xi}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2}.$$

In particular, we have $\tilde{\xi}/\tilde{\lambda} = \xi/\lambda$.

Proof. From (7.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x) &= |x|^{2-n} a^{n-2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + \left| \frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{a^2 \lambda}{|x|^2 \lambda^2 + a^4 - 2a^2 \xi \cdot x + |\xi|^2 |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ &= \left[\frac{a^2 \lambda / (\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\frac{a^4}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} + |x|^2 - \frac{2a^2 \xi \cdot x}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2}} \right]^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\ &= \left[\frac{a^2 \lambda / (\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2)}{\frac{a^4 \lambda^2}{(\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2)^2} + \left(x - \frac{a^2 \xi}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} \right)^2} \right]^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Hence we have (7.7). $\square$

Corollary 7.8. *Let u be a spherical solution as in proposition 7.6 and v the cylindrical transform of u via (7.2). Then the reflection at $\{s_o\} \times S^{n-1}$: $\tilde{v}(s, \theta) = v(2s_o - s, \theta)$ is equal to the cylindrical transform of the Kelvin transform of u about the sphere of radius e^{s_o} .*

Proof. As in the proof of proposition 7.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{v}(s, \theta) &= \left(\frac{\lambda e^{2s_o - s}}{\lambda^2 + e^{4s_o - 2s} - 2e^{2s_o - s}(\theta \cdot \xi) + |\xi|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\lambda e^{2s_o} e^s}{(\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2)e^{2s} + e^{4s_o} - 2e^{2s_o} e^s(\theta \cdot \xi)} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\frac{\lambda e^{2s_o}}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} e^s}{\frac{e^{4s_o}}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} + e^{2s} - \frac{2e^{2s_o} e^s(\theta \cdot \xi)}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda} e^s}{\tilde{\lambda}^2 + e^{2s} - 2e^s(\theta \cdot \tilde{\xi}) + |\tilde{\xi}|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{e^{2s_o} \lambda}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\xi} = \frac{e^{2s_o} \xi}{\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2}.$$

From proposition 7.6, we can draw the conclusion that $\tilde{v}$ is the cylindrical transform of a spherical solution $\tilde{u}$ which is the Kelvin transform of u about the sphere of radius e^{s_o} . $\square$

It follows from proposition 7.3 and corollary 7.8 that

$$v(2s_o - s, \theta) = \tilde{v}(s, \theta) = v(s + \ln(\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2) - 2s_o, \theta).$$

Thus we have the following symmetric property.

Corollary 7.9. *Let u be a spherical solution, v the cylindrical transform of u , and $\tilde{v}$ the reflection of v at $\{s_o\} \times S^{n-1}$. Then we have*

$$\tilde{v}(s, \theta) = v(2s_o - s, \theta) = v(s + \tilde{s}, \theta) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

where $\tilde{s} = \ln(\lambda^2 + |\xi|^2) - 2s_o$.

8. Delaunay-Fowler-type Solutions

In addition to the spherical solutions, there is a one parameter of smooth positive solutions of equation (6.5) that depend on s only. They pertain to constant mean curvature surfaces and satisfy the ODE

$$(8.1) \quad v'' - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 v + n(n-2)v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

The Hamiltonian energy

$$(8.2) \quad H(v, v') = (v')^2 - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 v^2 + (n-2)^2 v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$$

is found to be constant along solutions of equation (8.1). It can be seen that when $H(v, v') < 0$, then v cannot be positive everywhere. Let

$$D_n = -\frac{2}{n}(n-2)^2 \left(\frac{n-2}{4n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

For any number $H_o \in [D_n, 0)$, there exists a unique bounded *periodic* smooth positive solution v of equation (8.1) with

$$H(v, v') = H_o, \quad v'(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v''(0) \leq 0.$$

There are two extreme cases. When $H(v, v') = D_n$, v is the constant function given by

$$v(t) = \left(\frac{n-2}{4n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

The only positive solution of equation (8.1) with $H(v, v') = 0$, $v'(0) = 0$ and $v''(0) \leq 0$ is given by $v(t) = (2 \cosh t)^{(2-n)/2}$, which is the cylindrical transform of the spherical solution $u_{1,o}$. Smooth positive solutions v of equation (8.1) with $H(v, v') \in (D_n, 0)$ are known as *Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions*.

Given a Delaunay-Fowler-type solution v , let ε be the minimum of $v(t)$, which is known as the neck-size of the solution. We have

$$(8.3) \quad H(v, v') = (n-2)^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \varepsilon^2.$$

From the bounds of H we obtain

$$0 < \varepsilon < \left(\frac{n-2}{4n}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}}.$$

We may parameterize Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions by v_ε , where ε is the neck-size. Denoted by T_ε the period of the Delaunay-Fowler-type solution v_ε . Then

$$T_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \uparrow \left(\frac{n-2}{4n} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0$$

(see [42]). Moreover, $v(t)$ converges to $(2 \cosh t)^{(2-n)/2}$ in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ([28], cf. [33]).

By a result of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [7], if v is a smooth positive solution of equation (6.5) in $\mathbb{R} \times S^{n-1}$, and if v has a “removable” singularity at $t = -\infty$ (in the sense that the corresponding function has a removable singularity at $x = 0$), then v is the cylindrical transform of one of the spherical solutions. Moreover, if v has a non-removable singularity at $t = -\infty$, then it is one of the Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions. When we consider the equation outside a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and K is equal to one for $t \gg 1$, we have more variety by deforming the Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions.

Let u be a smooth positive solution of (4.1) and v be defined by the equation

$$(8.4) \quad u(x) = |x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v \left(-\ln |x|, \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Applying the Kelvin transform about the sphere of radius $a > 0$ we obtain

$$(8.5) \quad |x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v \left(\ln \frac{|x|}{a^2}, \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

By a translation $x \mapsto x + \xi$, we have

$$(8.6) \quad |x - \xi|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v \left(\ln |x - \xi| - \ln a^2, \frac{x - \xi}{|x - \xi|} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\xi\},$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Applying the Kelvin transform about the sphere of radius a again we obtain

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{\xi,a}(x) &:= |x|^{2-n} a^{n-2} \left| \frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v \left(\ln \left| \frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right| - \ln a^2, \left(\frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right) / \left| \frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right| \right) \\ &= |x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \left| \theta - \frac{\xi}{a^2} |x| \right|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v \left(-\ln |x| + \ln \left| \theta - \frac{\xi}{a^2} |x| \right|, \left(\theta - \frac{\xi}{a^2} |x| \right) / \left| \theta - \frac{\xi}{a^2} |x| \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x/|x|^2 \neq \xi/a^2$, where $\theta = x/|x|$ for $x \neq 0$. The function $u_{\xi,a}$ satisfies the equation

$$(8.8) \quad \Delta u_{\xi,a} + K_{\xi,a} u_{\xi,a}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

where

(8.9)

$$K_{\xi,a}(x) = K \left(a^2 \left(\frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right) / \left| \frac{a^2 x}{|x|^2} - \xi \right|^2 \right) = K \left(\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2} - \frac{\xi}{a^2} \right) / \left| \frac{x}{|x|^2} - \frac{\xi}{a^2} \right|^2 \right).$$

It turns out that the solution $u_{\xi,a}$ and the equation depend on ξ/a^2 only. We observe that $K_{\xi,a}$ is equal to one outside a compact subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In case v is one of the Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions, the above deformation is first expounded in [28]. In this case we may let $a = 1$, $|x| = e^{-t}$ and

$$v_{\varepsilon,\xi}(t, \theta) = |\theta - \xi e^{-t}|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v_{\varepsilon}(t + \ln |\theta - \xi e^{-t}|)$$

(cf. the last line in (8.7)). For $T \in \mathbb{R}$, define the deformed Delaunay-Fowler-type solution by

$$(8.10) \quad v_{\varepsilon,\xi,T}(t, \theta) = v_{\varepsilon,\xi}(t + T, \theta),$$

and set

$$(8.11) \quad u_{\varepsilon,\xi,T}(x) = |x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v_{\varepsilon,\xi,T}(-\ln |x|, \theta) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

(cf. (8.7)).

9. Pohozaev Invariants

We further explore the conformal structure of equation (4.1). For a compact Riemannian n -manifold (N, g) with smooth boundary ∂N , $n \geq 3$, let R be the scalar curvature of (N, g) , X a conformal Killing vector field on N . In [51] (cf. also [47]), Schoen obtains the identity

$$(9.1) \quad \frac{(n-2)^2}{8n(n-1)} \int_N (\mathcal{L}_X R) dv_g = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{\partial N} T(X, \nu) d\sigma,$$

where $T(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the trace-free Ricci tensor for the metric g , ν the unit outward normal on ∂N , and $\mathcal{L}_X$ the Lie derivative. (The constants are left in equation (9.1) for later simplification.)

We apply the identity to the equation

$$(9.2) \quad \Delta u + K u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which is the same as equation (4.1), except the constant $n(n-2)$. The scalar curvature of the conformal metric $u^{4/(n-2)}\delta_{ij}$ is equal to $4K(n-1)/(n-2)$. Here δ_{ij} is Euclidean metric. Take $N = B_o(r)$. The left hand side of (9.1) becomes

$$(9.3) \quad \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B_o(r)} (\mathcal{L}_X K) u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx,$$

and the right hand side is given by (see [51])

$$(9.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \int_{S_r} T(X, \nu) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{S_r} |x|^{n-2} \left\{ \frac{n}{n-1} (X \cdot \nabla u) (\mathcal{D} \cdot \nabla u) - \frac{n-2}{n-1} u (Ddu)(X, \mathcal{D}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{n-1} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4(n-1)} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) (X \cdot \mathcal{D}) \right\} dS, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \sum x_i \partial_{x_i}$, and Ddu is the Hessian of u . Take $X = \mathcal{D}$, it follows from a direct calculation that

$$(9.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B_o(r)} x \cdot \nabla K(x) u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{S_r} \left[r \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{r}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2n} r K u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} + \frac{n-2}{2} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right] dS, \end{aligned}$$

which is known as the *radial Pohozaev identity*. Denote the right hand side of (9.5) by $P(u, r)$. If we let $X = \partial_{x_i}$, we obtain

$$(9.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B_o(r)} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}(x) u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{S_r} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \nu_i + \frac{n-2}{2n} K u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \nu_i \right] dS, \end{aligned}$$

where ν_i is the i -th component of the unit outward normal ν on S_r . (9.6) is called the *translational Pohozaev identity*. Denote the right hand side of (9.6) by $P_i(u, r)$.

Assume that u has slow decay, that is,

$$u(x) \leq C|x|^{(2-n)/2}$$

for large $|x|$. From lemma 4.4 we have the gradient estimate

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C'|x|^{-n/2}$$

for large $|x|$. Here C and C' are positive constants. The integrand in the right hand side of (9.6) is of order $O(|x|^{-n})$. It follows that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} P_i(u, r) = 0$ and hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_o(r)} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}(x) u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

However, under the Kelvin transform, (9.6) could yield a non-zero invariant.

Let

$$\tilde{u}(x) = |x|^{2-n} u(x/|x|^2) \quad \text{for } x \neq 0$$

be the Kelvin transform of u . $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the equation

$$\Delta \tilde{u} + K(x/|x|^2) \tilde{u}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If u has slow decay, then

$$\tilde{u}(x) \leq C|x|^{(2-n)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \tilde{u}(x)| \leq C'|x|^{-n/2}$$

for small $|x|$ (cf. lemma 4.4). As in (9.6) we have

$$(9.7) \quad \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B_o(r) \setminus B_o(s)} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial x_i}(x) \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx = P_i(\tilde{u}, r) - P_i(\tilde{u}, s)$$

for $r > s > 0$, where $\tilde{K}(x) = K(x/|x|^2)$. In particular, if

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| \leq C|x|^\alpha$$

for small $|x|$ and some positive constants C and α , then

$$\int_{B_o(r)} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial x_i}(x) \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx$$

is finite. It follows that the limit

$$(9.8) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} P_i(\tilde{u}, s) = P_i(\tilde{u}, r) - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{B_o(r)} \frac{\partial \tilde{K}}{\partial x_i}(x) \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx$$

exists and is known as the *i-th translational Pohozaev invariant*, which is denoted by $P_i(\tilde{u})$.

For the radial Pohozaev invariant, the expression $P(u, r)$ is invariant under the Kelvin transform, that is,

$$P(\tilde{u}, s) = P(u, r) \quad \text{for } r = 1/s.$$

Hence

$$(9.9) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} P(u, r) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} P(\tilde{u}, s).$$

The limit in (9.9), if it exists, is called the *radial Pohozaev invariant* and is denoted by $P(\tilde{u})$. It is found that for $u_{\varepsilon, a, T}$ in (8.11),

$$(9.10) \quad P(u_{\varepsilon, a, T}) = \frac{(n-2)^2}{8} \omega_{n-1} \left(4\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - \varepsilon^2 \right) = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2} H(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$(9.11) \quad P_i(u_{\varepsilon,a,T}) = \frac{2n}{n-2} \omega_{n-1} H(\varepsilon) \xi_i = \frac{4n}{n-2} P(\tilde{u}) \xi_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

(cf. [28]; here $K(x) = n(n-2)$ for small $|x|$).

10. Questions on upper and lower bounds, Existence and Uniqueness

As a first step, one would like to find conditions on K so as to ensure slow decay (i) of a smooth positive solution u of equation (4.1). This appears to be essential for a better understanding on the asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions u . When K is only required to be bounded between two positive numbers, there may have solutions which are unbounded from above (see [55] and [34], cf. also [56]). The works of Chen and Lin [10] [11] [12] [13] exhibit conditions on K for slow decay. It is conjectured by Taliaferro in [55] that if K satisfies condition (4.2) with $b/a < 2^{2/(n-2)}$, then u should have slow decay.

Once a solution u of equation (4.1) has slow decay, a natural next step is to ask if it has lower bound (iii) as well. It turns out that this is not always the case (see [13] and [33]), and such u are called *exotic solutions*. In [37] Lin states a conjecture on the existence of exotic solutions based on a nondegenerate condition related to K .

Another concern is uniqueness. Assume that $K \equiv 1$ outside a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let u_1 and u_2 be smooth positive solutions of equation (4.1). It is shown in [28] that there exist deformed Delaunay-Fowler-type solutions $v_{\varepsilon_1, \xi_1, T_1}$ and $v_{\varepsilon_2, \xi_2, T_2}$ (cf. (8.10) and (8.11)) such that

$$u_i(x) = |x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} v_{\varepsilon_i, \xi_i, T_i}(\ln |x|, \theta) + O(|x|^{-\alpha}) \quad \text{for } |x| \gg 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\xi^1, \xi^2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and α is a positive constant. The parameters ε_i and ξ_i can be determined by the Pohozaev invariants of u_i , $i = 1, 2$, respectively. (For the translational Pohozaev invariants, we take the Kelvin transforms of u_1 and u_2 , cf. section 9.) It is asked (cf. [28]) when $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$, $\xi^1 = \xi^2$ and $T_1 = T_2$, do we have $u_1 = u_2$?

References

- [1] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269-296.
- [2] T. Aubin, *Sur le problème de la courbure scalaire prescrite*, Bull. Sci. Math. **118** (1994), 465-474.
- [3] P. Aviles and R. McOwen, *Conformal deformation to constant negative scalar curvature on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), 225-239.
- [4] P. Aviles and R. McOwen, *Complete conformal metrics with negative scalar curvature in compact Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 395-398.
- [5] A. Bahri, *Proof of the Yamabe conjecture without the positive mass conjecture for locally conformally flat manifolds*, in Nonlinear Variational Problems and Partial Differential Equations (Isola d'Elba, 1990), pp. 13-43, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., Vol. 320, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1995.
- [6] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) Vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1987.
- [7] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 271-297.
- [8] A. S.-Y. Chang and P. Yang, *Prescribing Gaussian curvature on S^2* , Acta Math. **159** (1987), 215-259.
- [9] A. S.-Y. Chang and P. Yang, *Conformal deformation of metrics on S^2* , J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), 259-296.
- [10] C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin, *On compactness and completeness of conformal metrics in $\mathbf{R}^N$* , Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), 549-559.
- [11] C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin, *Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), 971-1019.
- [12] C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin, *Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. II*, J. Differential Geom. **49** (1998), 115-178.

- [13] C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin, *On the asymptotic symmetry of singular solutions of the scalar curvature equations*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 229-245.
- [14] W.-X. Chen and W.-Y. Ding, *Scalar curvatures on S^2* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **303** (1987), 365-382.
- [15] W.-X. Chen and C.-M. Li, *A priori estimates for prescribing scalar curvature equations*, Ann. of Math. **145** (1997), 547-564.
- [16] K.-S. Cheng and J. Smoller, *Conformal metrics with prescribed Gaussian curvature on S^2* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993), 219-251.
- [17] S.-S. Chern, *Back to Riemann*, in Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives, pp. 33-34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [18] D. Finn, *Positive solutions of $\Delta_g u = u^q + Su$ singular at submanifolds with boundary*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 1359-1397.
- [19] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209-243.
- [20] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , in Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Part A, pp. 369-402, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., Vol. 7a, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.
- [21] H. Hopf, *Differential Geometry in the Large*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1000, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1989.
- [22] Z.-R. Jin, *A counterexample to the Yamabe problem for complete noncompact manifolds* in Partial Differential Equations (Tianjin, 1986), pp. 93-101, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1306, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1988.
- [23] Z.-R. Jin, *Prescribing scalar curvatures on the conformal classes of complete metrics with negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340** (1993), 785-810.
- [24] S. Katō, *The scalar curvature equation on open Riemannian manifolds*, (Japanese) Sūgaku **51** (1999), 225-240.
- [25] J. Kazdan, *Prescribing the Curvature of a Riemannian Manifold*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1985.

- [26] J. Kazdan and F. Warner, *Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **99** (1974), 14-47.
- [27] M. Kiessling, *Statistical mechanics approach to some problems in conformal geometry*, Physica A **279** (2000) 353-368.
- [28] N. Korevaar, R. Mazzeo, F. Pacard and R. Schoen, *Refined asymptotics for constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 233-272.
- [29] J. Lee and T. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17** (1987), 37-91.
- [30] M.-C. Leung, *Conformal scalar curvature equations on complete manifolds*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), 367-417.
- [31] M.-C. Leung, *Conformal deformation of warped products and scalar curvature functions on open manifolds*, Bull. Sci. Math. **122** (1998), 369-398.
- [32] M.-C. Leung, *Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of the equation $\Delta_g u + Ku^p = 0$ in a complete Riemannian manifold and positive scalar curvature*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), 425-462.
- [33] M.-C. Leung, *Exotic solutions of the conformal scalar curvature equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse Non Linéaire, **18** (2001), 297-307.
- [34] M.-C. Leung, *Growth estimates on positive solutions of the equation $\Delta u + Ku^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Canad. Math. Bull., **44** (2001), 210-222.
- [35] Y. Li and W.-M. Ni, *On the asymptotic behavior and radial symmetry of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$ I. Asymptotic behavior*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **118** (1992), 195-222.
- [36] Y. Li and W.-M. Ni, *On the asymptotic behavior and radial symmetry of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$ II. Radial symmetry*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **118** (1992), 223-243.
- [37] C.-S. Lin, *Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes III*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000), 611-646.
- [38] J. Liouville, *Sur l'équation aux différences partielles $\partial^2 \log \lambda / \partial u \partial v \pm \lambda / 2a^2 = 0$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **18** (1853), 71-72.

- [39] C. Loewner and L. Nirenberg, *Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations*, in Contributions to Analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers), pp. 245-272, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [40] R. Mazzeo, *Regularity for the singular Yamabe problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 1277-1299.
- [41] R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *A construction of singular solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation using asymptotic analysis*, J. Differential Geom. **44** (1996), 331-370.
- [42] R. Mazzeo and F. Pacard, *Constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), 353-418.
- [43] R. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer Index Theorem*, Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 4, A. K. Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [44] J. Moser, *On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry*, in Dynamical Systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971), pp. 273-280, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [45] W.-M. Ni, *On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$, its generalizations and applications in geometry*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982), 493-529.
- [46] F. Pacard, *The Yamabe problem on subdomains of even-dimensional spheres*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **6** (1995), 137-150.
- [47] F. Pacard and T. Rivière, *Linear and Nonlinear Aspects of Vortices. The Ginzburg-Landau Model*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol. 39, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [48] H. Poincaré, *Les fonctions fuchsiennes et l'équation $\Delta u = e^u$* , J. Math. **4** (1898), 137-230.
- [49] A. Ratto, M. Rigoli and L. Véron, *Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of hyperbolic space*, J. Funct. Anal. **121** (1994), 15-77.
- [50] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 479-495.
- [51] R. Schoen, *The existence of weak solutions with prescribed singular behavior for a conformally invariant scalar equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 317-392.

- [52] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, International Press, Boston, 1994.
- [53] B.-W. Schulze, *Pseudo-differential operators, ellipticity, and asymptotics on manifolds with edges*, in Partial Differential Equations (Han-sur-Lesse, 1993), pp. 290-328, Math. Res., Vol. 82, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [54] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Vol II, Second Edition, Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., 1979.
- [55] S. Taliaferro, *On the growth of superharmonic functions near an isolated singularity, I*, J. Differential Equations **158** (1999), 28-47.
- [56] S. Taliaferro, *On the growth of superharmonic functions near an isolated singularity. II*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, to appear.
- [57] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1968) 265-274.
- [58] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960) 21-37.
- [59] T. Willmore, *Curves*, in Handbook of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, pp. 997-1023, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [60] Q.-S. Zhang, *An optimal parabolic estimate and its applications in prescribing scalar curvature on some open manifolds with Ricci ≥ 0* , preprint.